Presentations will be graded on

* Organization/visuals and creativity
  + 30%
    - 5 pts= Full credit
      * Everything flows well and is easy to read. There is not too much on one slide. Each topic has it’s own slide. The slide show is entertaining and the color scheme is not distracting and does not result in unreadable text. Pictures related to the topic were used and explained (no more than 1 per slide).
    - 4 pts
      * It is easy to read and there is not too much on a slide. Every topic has it’s own slide. The slide show is entertaining and the color scheme does not result in unreadable text. There are no topic related pictures.
    - 3 pts
      * The slides are relatively easy to read, however there may be too much on the slide. The slide show’s color scheme is not distracting or result in unreadable text. There are no topic related pictures.
    - 2 pts
      * The slides are difficult to read and follow due to the lack of text on the slides. There is little organization to the order of the slides. The color scheme is mildly distracting and results in unreadable text. There are no topic related pictures.
    - 1 pts
      * Powerpoint is unorganized, visually distracting, and is not easy to read or follow.
* Content
  + 30%
    - 5pts
      * The content is meaningful, fully explained, and relevant to the topic. The examples and sources help describe the topic and makes it easier for the viewers/listeners to learn. The speakers display an understanding of the topic.
    - 4pts
      * The content is meaningful, mostly explained, and relevant to the topic. The examples and sources help describe the topic and makes it easier for the viewers/listeners to learn. The speakers display a mild understanding of the topic.
    - 3 pts
      * The content is mostly meaningful, mostly explained, and relevant to the topic. The examples and sources help describe the topic and makes it easier for the viewers/listeners to learn. The speakers display mild understanding of the topic.
    - 2 pts
      * The content describes what science and pseudoscience is but is not explained. There are relevant sources and examples. The speakers do not display understanding of the topic.
    - 1pts
      * The content does not describe science or pseudoscience. There are no sources or examples.
* Grammar
  + 20%
    - 5 pts
      * 2 mistakes or less
    - 4 pts
      * 3-5 grammatical errors
    - 3 pts
      * 6-7 grammatical errors
    - 2 pts
      * 8-9 grammatical errors
    - 1 pt
      * 10+ grammatical errors
* Sources
  + 10%
    - 5 pts
      * Sources are present are relative to the topic, easy to understand, and help reinforce ideas.
    - 3 pts
      * Relative sources present
    - 1 pt
      * No sources
* Examples
  + 10%
    - 5 pts
      * Examples are relevant and there is at least one example for hypothesis, fact, law, and theory
    - 3 pts
      * Examples are relevant, some are missing
    - 1 pt
      * No examples are present